

**Personality and investment:
Personality differences affect investors'
adaptation to losses**

Research Memorandum 2010-7

**Carmen Lee
Roman Kraeussl
Leo Paas**

Personality and Investment:

Personality Differences Affect Investors' Adaptation to Losses

Carmen Lee^{*}, Roman Kraeussl[†], Leo Paas^{*}

^{*} *Marketing department, VU University Amsterdam*

[†] *Finance department, VU University Amsterdam*

8 July, 2010

* Corresponding author: Leo Paas, FEWEB, VU University Amsterdam, de Boelelaan 1105, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands. P: +31205986003, F: +31205989870, Email: lpaas@feweb.vu.nl.

Personality and Investment:

Personality Differences Affect Investors' Adaptation to Losses

Abstract

The coping literature has demonstrated that differences in personality affect how individuals adapt to various stressful events, such as physical pain and traumatic experiences. This paper extends these findings into a stressful event in the financial domain, investment losses. We hypothesize that differences in the Big Five personality traits can explain variance in individuals' extent of adaptation to financial losses. The reported findings show that individuals scoring higher on *agreeableness* and *intellect*, and lower on *conscientiousness* adapt more to losses. It will be argued that these findings suggest that adaptation requires willingness and ability to process new information.

Keywords: adaptation; reference point; personality; financial market

JEL Classification: C91, D14

PsycINFO Classification: 3120

1. INTRODUCTION

Individuals deal with stressful events in life using various coping strategies (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984). The stressful events investigated in the extant literature are diverse, including divorce of parents (Lengua & Sandler, 1996), traumatic events such as flooding (Morgan, Matthews, & Winton, 1995), and physical pain (Miro & Raich, 1992). The current paper applies the findings from the coping literature to another type of stressful events, financial losses. This extension not only provides a first insight into the relevance of coping for financial decision making, but also enhances our knowledge of a recently investigated topic in behavioral finance, i.e., reference point adaptation (Arkes, Hirshleifer, Jiang, & Lim, 2008; Lee, Kraeussl, Lucas, & Paas, 2009).

Adaptation to a financial loss, or (reference point) adaptation, implies that an individual's reference point is adjusted to the decreased value of the losing investment. Consider an investment with an initial value of \$100. The initial reference point for the investment is represented by its initial value of \$100. This is the neutral value, in which neither losses nor gains are perceived to have occurred by the investor. Now assume that the value of this investment decreases to \$70. Some individuals may not adapt to this change, their reference point remains at \$100. Others may fully adapt and have an adapted reference point of \$70. Furthermore, those individuals who partially adapted to the financial will have an adjusted reference point between \$70 and \$100. Adaptation has consequences for the manner in which the investor will interpret future changes in the value of the investment. For example, those who have not adapted to the first \$30 value decrease will not feel positive about the investment when its value changes from \$70 to \$90, while those who have adapted fully will be positive about the investment after this increase. For the latter group the increase to \$90 implies an increase to a level that is above their current reference point.

Reference point adaptation is a salient topic for research, as it is related to the occurrence of the disposition effect. The disposition effect concerns the investors' tendency to hold losing investments (losers) too long and sell winning investments (winners) too soon (Shefrin & Statman, 1985; Stracca, 2004; Van der Sar, 2004). This has been confirmed in a laboratory setting (Weber & Camerer, 1998), in an online setting (Lee, Park, Lee, & Wyer, 2008), and in examining trading activities of individual investors (Odean, 1998) and those of professional investors (Garvey & Murphy, 2004). The disposition effect has high societal relevance as it leads to suboptimal financial decisions, which may have strong effects on household welfare and company profits. Prospect theory (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979) is the most prominent explanation for the disposition effect. Apart from prospect theory, Shefrin and Statman (1985) suggest that mental accounting, anticipated regret and pride and self control can explain the disposition effect. Recently other explanations for the occurrence of the disposition effect have also received attention (e.g., Dhar & Zhu, 2006; L'Haridon, 2009). Most relevant for the current paper, Lee et al. (2009) find that adaptation to prior financial losses affect individuals' probability to sell losing investments, which is highly relevant in explaining the disposition effect. Lee et al. (2009) find that when holding expectations of the investments future gains or losses constant, larger adaptation to prior loss is linked to a smaller probability to sell losers.

Current knowledge on reference point adaptation, however, is limited, particularly into the occurrence of individual differences in reference point adaptation (Arkes et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2009). Arkes et al. (2007, 2008) find that individuals adapt to gains faster than to losses and they report cross-cultural differences in reference point adaptation. However, no previous research assessed how reference point adaptation varies across individuals. Thus, there is uncertainty as to how individual heterogeneity, such as personality, affects how much individual

adapt to gains and losses. Empirical findings reported in the economics literature show that personality influences individuals' earnings (Bowles, Gintis, & Osborne, 2001; Groves, 2005; Nyhus & Pons, 2005; Semykina & Linz, 2007) consumption patterns (Brandstätter & Güth, 2000), and the degree of cooperative behaviors (Boone, De Brabander, & van Witteloostuijn, 1999). However, since adaptation to financial gains and losses is a relatively novel area of research (Arkes et al., 2008), there is a gap in literature regarding the link between personality and adaptation of reference point.

Based on a large body of coping literature (e.g., Lazarus & Folkman, 1984; Conner-Smith & Flachsbart, 2007), it is clear that individual differences in personality affect individuals' decision making, behaviors, and coping strategies. Acceptance, one of many possible coping strategies, closely resembles adaptation, see section 2. Furthermore, previous research shows personality traits are linked to the use of various coping strategies and also to acceptance in particular (see summary by Conner-Smith & Flachsbart, 2007). Therefore, we will derive hypotheses from the coping literature concerning how individual personality differences affect adaptation to financial losses, see section 2. Section 3 presents the conducted empirical study and its results. Section 4 concludes and discusses the findings and provides implications for future research on the adaptation of reference points, personality and financial decision making.

This paper contributes to knowledge in various literature streams. Research examining the link between personality differences and coping with financial losses has not been reported previously. Thus, we contribute to the coping literature by investigating a new stressor, namely financial losses. Next to this, insight into the affects of individual personality differences on adaptation to financial losses will enhance knowledge of this particular adaptation process, see discussion in section 4.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

According to Lazarus and Folkman (1984), coping is commonly defined as attempts to adapt to pain, or manage one's own negative responses to pain or other stressors. Research in coping has shown that individuals cope with various stressful life events using diverse strategies (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984). In a review Skinner, Edge, Altman and Sherwood (2003) find that there are more than a hundred coping categorization schemes. Commonly used coping strategies include, problem-solving, wishful thinking, withdrawal, denial and cognitive restructuring. Conner-Smith and Flachsbart (2007) conduct a meta-analysis in the coping literature and find that the Big Five personality traits predict the use of specific coping strategies. For example, extraversion and conscientiousness predict the use of strategies such as problem-solving and cognitive restructuring.

The coping strategies of most interest here is *acceptance*, meaning that one comes to terms with the stressor/environment that cannot be changed, learning how to live with it, and develops a sense of understanding (Connor-Smith & Flachsbart, 2007). In a way, the person accepts the current environment to be the new status quo. Section 2.1 discusses similarities between acceptance and adaptation. Section 2.2 discusses the relations between acceptance, reference point adaptation and personality. In this latter section we also present the hypotheses to be tested in the empirical study.

2.1. Acceptance and Adaptation of Reference Point

Acceptance is a particularly important coping strategy in situations where the stressor is something to be accommodated to, as opposed to situations where the stressor can be changed easily (Carver, Scheier, & Weintraub, 1989). David and Suls (1999) find that lower perceived

control over events was associated with greater reliance on coping strategies such as distraction, acceptance, seeking emotional social support, but less use of direct action. When facing paper losses, retail investors can hardly change the situation. The only two actions they can engage in are: hold on to the losing investment or sell it and realize the loss. None of these actions give investors control over the financial loss that they already incurred. Thus, we expect that acceptance is an important coping strategy for financial losses.

We propose that the coping strategy *acceptance* closely resembles *reference point adaptation* in the prospect theory framework (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979). Before discussing this resemblance, we first briefly discuss reference point adaptation from the perspective of prospect theory. Prospect theory postulates that investors evaluate outcomes with regard to a reference point. This is the salient neutral point on the evaluation scale, if the outcome is above (below) this point, it is considered as a gain (loss). Furthermore, prospect theory suggests investors experience loss aversion, because losses impose approximately double the psychological effect of equally sized gains. In addition, investors are risk averse in the gain domain, and risk seeking in the loss domain. This is reflected in concavity of the value function above the reference point and convexity below, see Figure 1. This results in the disposition effect (Shefrin & Statman, 1985; Stracca, 2004; Van der Sar, 2004). Although selling a losing investment can prevent one from incurring additional losses, actually realizing the loss is psychologically painful. Therefore, investors tend to choose the risky option (holding on to the losing investment, i.e. keeping just “paper losses”) in order to retain the possibility of avoiding pain. In the gain domain, investors are likely to sell winners to realize/capture the paper gain due to their risk aversion.

INSERT FIGURE 1 HERE

The reference point of an individual in prospect theory may not be static. Recent studies (Arkes et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2009) have demonstrated occurrence of reference point adaptation, after the values of investments have changed. The shift of the reference point is in the direction of a prior outcome. After incurring a loss the reference point of many investors will shift downwards and upward adjustments may occur after gains. Arkes et al. (2008) find that individuals can adapt to both gains and losses in the financial domain, meaning that through time, prior gains (losses) would generate less positive (negative) value. Individuals update their reference point based on prior financial gains or losses, and partly if they do not fully accept their prior gain and loss as their status quo. Furthermore, individuals adapt to gains at a faster pace as compared to losses (Arkes et al., 2008). Lee et al. (2009) also found that investors adapt to gains and losses, and they report an experiment that shows investors are more likely to let go of their losing investments if they have adapted less to the losing investment, holding expectations constant.

Acceptance in coping literature and adaptation of reference point both concern reactions of individuals to a new stressor or loss. When people engage in acceptance, they learn to live with the loss and the resulting limitations. Thus, the situation with a stressor becomes the status quo. When investors adapt to paper losses, their reference point moves downward and towards the paper loss, that is, they have a new status quo. As such, both acceptance and adaptation of reference point are dealing with individuals' perception of the updated status quo/neutral reference. In both of these concepts, the stressor/paper loss influences the location of the updated status quo/reference point.

Adaptation is a relatively novel topic in the behavioral finance literature and has not received much attention. However, acceptance as a coping strategy, has been examined to a wide

extent in the psychology and personality literature. In the following, we review the literature on the Big Five personality dimensions and propose links between personality and adaptation in the financial domain, based on the coping literature.

2.2. Big Five Personality Traits and Adaptation

Changes in life circumstances can create ups and downs in life satisfaction. Most individuals will be capable of adapting to such changes (Brickman, Coates, & Janoff-Bulman, 1978; Kahneman, 1999). Nonetheless, Lucas, Clark, Georgellis and Diener (2003) report evidence showing that there are significant individual differences in the extent to which individuals adapt back to their baseline level (or reference point). They find that years after a loss or a traumatic event, some people do not rebound to how satisfied they felt about their life, prior to the event. That is, they do not accept the occurrence of the event and its consequences over time. Adaptation to financial losses resembles acceptance. Since there are individual differences in terms of accepting events in life, it is not surprising that in the financial domain some investors adapt more/faster to financial gains and losses than the others (Arkes et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2009). However, the specific individual differences that are related to reference point adaptation have not been researched previously.

In terms of how individual differences affect other aspects of financial decision-making, several antecedents have been tested previously. For instance, Dhar and Zhu (2006) find that investors with higher educational levels and professional occupations are less likely to exhibit the disposition effect. Grinblatt, Keloharju and Linnainmaa (2009) suggest that investors with a high IQ outperform those with a below average IQ. While individual differences such as age, gender, income, intelligence, etc have been tested or controlled for in these prior studies, the

effects of personality on investment have not been examined. We propose that the Big Five model of personality provides a useful context for assessing individual differences in adaptation to financial losses. Many studies in the coping literature are based on this model (for example, Bishop et al., 2001; David & Suls, 1999). Since we build our hypotheses upon the findings in the coping literature, we also make use of the Big Five model of to hypothesize individual differences in adaptation to financial losses.

The Big Five traits are commonly labeled as: (1) Extraversion (or Surgency); (2) Agreeableness; (3) Conscientiousness (or Dependability); (4) Emotional Stability (vs. Neuroticism); and (5) Intellect or Openness to Experience, Culture (Goldberg, 1992). These traits are rooted in biological structure and processes (McCrae et al., 2000). The Big Five traits are the five main dimensions of personality, in each dimension various characteristic are included, as will be discussed below. A recent meta-analysis study by Conner-Smith and Flachsbart (2007) indicates that the Big Five personality traits predict the use of different coping strategies by individuals. As there seem to be strong similarities between the coping strategy acceptance and adaptation to financial gains/losses, we focus our discussion on the literature of the Big Five personality traits and acceptance, and propose hypotheses.

Extraversion includes positive affectivity, sociability, assertiveness and sensitivity to reward (McCrae & John, 1992; Rothbart & Bates, 1998). Individuals scoring high on extraversion tend to be energetic, cheerful, and optimistic, and they tend to view stressful situations as challenges (Gallagher, 1990). Being energetic and optimistic should facilitate the use of engagement strategies such as cognitive restructuring, problem solving, seeking support, etc. David and Suls (1999) also suggest that those who score higher on extraversion should rely more on active, problem-focused coping strategies because of their tendency to see problems as

challenges. As an exception, Bishop et al. (2001) have found that extraversion to be positively related to acceptance, which is not an active coping strategy (Conner-Smith & Flachsbart, 2007). In sum, most of the reported empirical research suggests extraversion primarily affects the likelihood to engage in coping strategies other than acceptance. Thus, we expect no relation between extraversion and reference point adaptation/acceptance, i.e.:

H1: Extraversion does not affect adaptation to financial losses.

Agreeableness includes characteristics such as trust, altruism, and compliance (McCrae & John, 1992). This dimension largely reflects interpersonal tendencies. Individuals scoring high on agreeableness tend to have a more extensive social support network from which they can seek support when stressors are encountered. Since agreeableness relates to compliance, individuals scoring higher on agreeableness are more likely to accept the current situation (Costa, Somerfield, & McCrae, 1996). Bishop et al. (2001) find that agreeableness is positively related to the use of acceptance as a coping strategy. In the financial domain, we expect that if the price of the investment has decreased, people who score high on agreeableness are more likely to accept the current situation, i.e.:

H2: Agreeableness has a positive relation with adaptation to financial losses.

Conscientiousness includes characteristics such as high levels of self-regulation, persistence, impulse control, achievement orientation, and self-discipline (McCrae & John, 1992). Conscientiousness represents the general tendency to be strong-willed, and determined. Conscientious individuals are careful planners and engage in active coping strategies when a stressor is encountered. Individuals scoring high on conscientiousness have strong control over their own attention; they are able to stay focused on tasks, regardless whether the tasks are enjoyable or not. This high attention span and persistence enables individuals to engage in

various coping strategies, which leads to a smaller probability that acceptance is chosen above other coping strategies. Moreover, individuals scoring high on conscientiousness have high levels of persistence and achievement orientation, they may hold on to their expectations and previously set goals and refuse to accept anything less (McCrae & John, 1992). This may lead to a negative relation between conscientiousness and adaptation to financial losses.

H3: Conscientiousness has a negative relation with adaptation to financial losses.

Neuroticism (vs. emotional stability) reflects one's general tendency to experience negative affective states. *Neuroticism* includes characteristics such as negative affectivity, self-consciousness, physiological reactivity, and behavioral inhibition (McCrae & John, 1992; Miles & Hempel, 2003; Rothbart & Bates, 1998). Neuroticism includes intense emotions and strong responses to stress. Individuals scoring high on neuroticism experience a high level of unpleasant arousal, when facing stressful events. To avoid feeling unpleasant, they are likely to use coping strategies that help disengaging themselves from the stressful events, such as avoidance, withdrawal, venting emotions, etc (Conner-Smith & Flachsbart, 2007). As these means of coping are more likely to be used by individuals scoring high on neuroticism, acceptance becomes a strategy that is less likely to be chosen. Thus, we expect a negative relation between neuroticism and reference point adaptation. Since neuroticism is opposite to emotional stability, we expect a positive relation between emotional stability and reference point adaptation:

H4: Emotions Stability has a positive relation with adaptation to financial losses.

*Intellect, also often referred as Openness to experience*¹, represents the tendency to be creative and to engage in divergent thinking. Openness to experience/ intellect includes creativity, curiosity, flexibility, imagination, and intellectual interests (McCrae & John, 1992). Since individuals scoring high on intellect are more flexible and creative, they may try a number of

coping strategies until they find one that suits the demands of the stressful situation. Furthermore, Bishop et al. (2001) find that openness to experience is positively related to positive reinterpretation and acceptance. People who score high on openness to experience are more likely to take new perspectives and look into new events from various angles. They may be more likely to perceive a paper loss as expectable or less surprising when other external factors, such as market turbulence, economics and political factors, etc, are under consideration. Thus, they are expected to be more likely to accept the new financial situations and the updated price of an investment, that is, they are more likely to accept their paper losses. Therefore, we expect a positive relation between openness to experience and reference point adaptation.

H5: Intellect has a positive relation with adaptation to financial losses.

3. EMPIRICAL STUDY

3.1. Subjects

A total of 229 undergraduate students (132 male, 93 female, 4 non-responses) from a university in The Netherlands participated in this study. Questionnaires were filled in during a class that is part of an undergraduate course in marketing for the Business Program. The average age of participants is 21.67 years (SD=2.38). Each participant voluntarily filled out the questionnaire to enter a lottery of cash rewards, a total of 500 EUR was paid.

3.2. Personality Measures

There are various measures for the Big Five factors. The more commonly used ones are: the Eysenck Personality Questionnaire (EPQ-R) (Eysenck, Eysenck, & Barrett, 1985) and the NEO Five-Factor Inventory (NEO-FFI) (Costa & McCrae, 1992). Among these Big Five personality

traits, openness (also referred to as openness to experience, intellect, culture) is least consistent across measures (John & Srivastava, 1999). Several authors have criticized the reliability of the scores of openness in NEO scales across studies (Caruso, 2000), and the validity of openness as a personality trait (Goldberg, 1994). To overcome problematic measurement of openness within the NEO scales, we use another relatively new personality inventory the International Personality Item Pool - IPIP (Goldberg, 1992) to measure personality (Theakston et al., 2004; Heaven & Bucci, 2001). Gow, Whiteman, Pattie, and Deary (2005) find that Emotional Stability, Extraversion and Conscientiousness scales of the IPIP were highly correlated with those of the NEO-FFI, while Agreeableness and Intellect/Openness scales correlated less strongly. They conclude that the IPIP scales have good internal consistency and relate strongly to major dimensions of personality assessed by NEO Five Factor Inventory (NEO-FFI) developed by Costa and McCrae (1992) and Eysenck Personality Questionnaire-Revised Short Form (EPQ-R) developed by Eysenck, Eysenck and Barrett (1985).

We used the 50-Item set of IPIP Big Five factor markers (Goldberg 1992); each of the five domains was measured by 10 items rated on a 5-point scale (1=very inaccurate, 5= very accurate). About half of the items in each domain are negatively scored. Examples of the items include: am the life of the party (measuring *Extraversion*), am interested in people (measuring *Agreeableness*), am always prepared (measuring *Conscientiousness*), get stressed out easily (measuring *Emotional Stability*), have a rich vocabulary (measuring *Intellect*). We calculate the mean score for each of the five traits. The IPIP scale has good internal consistency. The Cronbach's alphas of the Big Five personality traits obtained in our study are, Extraversion = 0.86, Agreeableness = 0.72, Conscientiousness = 0.75, Emotional Stability = 0.79, Intellect =

0.74. Reliability of the IPIP items for each of the five traits obtained in this study is consistent with previous studies².

3.3. Adaptation Measures

We adopt the reference point adaptation measures used in study 1 in the paper of Arkes et al. (2008). While our focus is on adaptation in the domain of loss, we also included the domain of gain for comparison purposes. By random assignment, participants were presented either with a gain (N=118) or a loss (N=111) scenario. In both scenarios participants were told that they had bought a stock for \$30 per share two months ago. The price of the stock decreased (increased) to \$24 (\$36) last month. Participants were asked to fill out what stock price today would make them feel equally sad (happy) as the previous loss (gain). With this question, participants were in fact asked to report what the stock price has to be today to generate the same utility as the previous gain or loss. In the loss domain, if one does not adapt to the previous loss at all, then the stock price at \$24 per share today would generate the same negative utility. If one does adapt to the loss, then we expect the equally sad price to be under \$24. For the gain domain not adapting implies one would be equally happy if the price of the stock remains to be \$36. To calculate the adaptation of reference point, Arkes et al. (2008) proposed an equality, which we also used to calculate adaptation in this study. Assume that the previous reference point is R_0 and the previous stock price is defined as P_1 . Furthermore, the shape of the prospect theory value function is held constant and the difference between R_0 and P_1 is the same as the difference between the equally happy/sad price (P^*) and the adapted reference point (R^*).

$$P^* - R^* = P_1 - R_0 \rightarrow \Delta R = R^* - R_0 = P^* - P_1 \quad (1)$$

For the loss domain in our study, participants on average think that a second loss to \$21.26 would make them feel as sad as the previous loss from \$30 to \$24. For the gain domain in our study, participants on average believe that a second gain to \$40.14 would make them feel equally happy as the first gain from \$30 to \$36. These answers are extremely close to those obtained in study 1 of Arkes et al. (2008) (\$40.24 for winning investments and 21.49 for loser). Equation (1) implies that the value obtained from the second gain ($\$40.14 - R^*$) is the same as the value of the first gain ($\$36 - R_0$). Thus, on average participants in the gain domain have adapted \$4.14 upwards ($\$40.14 - R^* = 36 - R_0 \rightarrow \Delta R = R^* - R_0 = \$40.14 - \$36$) after the first gain. In the loss domain, on average participants have adapted \$2.74 downwards ($\$21.26 - \24). Participants have adapted to a greater extent in the gain domain than in the loss domain, which is also consistent with Arkes et al. (2008).

3.4. Results

We calculated the participants' scores on the Big Five personality traits, by taking the means of the item-scores for each trait, as the Cronbach's Alpha in each scale was sufficiently high, see section 3.2. Linear regression analysis was conducted to assess the effects of these mean scores on the extent of adaptation in the loss and gain domains. Results indicate that in the loss domain, higher scores on agreeableness ($\beta = .245, t(109) = 2.73, p = .007$) and intellect ($\beta = .318, t(109) = 3.26, p = .001$), and lower score on conscientiousness ($\beta = -.210, t(109) = -2.22, p = .028$) are related to a greater extent of adaptation to loss. The effect of extraversion ($\beta = -.173, t(109) = 1.79, p = .076$) on adaptation is marginally insignificant and emotional stability does not affect adaptation to financial losses ($\beta = -.040, t(109) = -.436, p = .664$). In this analysis, R-square equals 0.16, $F(5, 105) = 4.010, p = .002$.

We ran a similar regression of personality on adaptation in the gain domain to provide a comparison. In the gain domain, none of the scores are significantly related to the extent of adaptation to gains, for agreeableness $\beta = -.075$ ($t(115) = -.786, p = .434$), for intellect $\beta = -.093$ ($t(115) = -.957, p = .341$), for extraversion $\beta = -.018$ ($t(115) = -.186, p = .853$), for conscientiousness $\beta = -.131$ ($t(115) = -1.38, p = .170$), and for emotional stability $\beta = -.045$, ($t(115) = -.471, p = .639$). In this analysis, R-square equals 0.04, $F(5, 111) = .937, p = .460$. These results are summarized in Table 1.

INSERT TABLE 1 HERE

As hypothesized, higher scores on agreeableness and intellect, and lower scores on conscientiousness lead to more adaptation to losses. Furthermore, extraversion does not significantly affect adaptation to financial losses. Thus, hypotheses 1, 2, 3 and 5 received support respectively. Emotional stability is not linked to adaptation to loss, so hypothesis 4 was not supported. Another finding is that personality is only relevant for adaptation to financial losses and not for adaptation to gains.

4. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

The behavioral finance literature has demonstrated that risk aversion, loss aversion, mental accounting (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979; Shefrin & Staman, 1985; Thaler, 1985; Thaler & Johnson, 1990), education level, professional occupations (Dhar & Zhu, 2006) and IQ (Grinblatt, Keloharju, & Linnainmaa, 2009) can all affect individuals' decisions. Our results support previous studies (Dhar & Zhu, 2006; Grinblatt, Keloharju, & Linnainmaa, 2009) that heterogeneity among individuals can explain the variance in their financial decisions. We extend the literature by looking beyond socio-economical, demographical factors and cognitive

capabilities, and test how personality traits relate to adaptation to losses. While our results confirm previous findings that individuals adapt faster to gains than to losses (Arkes et al., 2008), we also show that there is a link between personality and adaptation. With the use of a questionnaire, we find that individuals who score higher on *agreeableness* and *intellect*, and lower on *conscientiousness* adapt to losses to a larger extent. The Big Five personality traits, on the contrary, do not have significant impact on adaptation to gains. Thus, personality is influential when one is adapting to negative events, for example, financial losses, but not when one is facing positive events. The latter is a topic that can be investigated in other domains.

The results of this study, together with the results of previous studies that investigated investor heterogeneity (Dhar & Zhu, 2006; Grinblatt, Keloharju, & Linnainmaa, 2009), may have implications for the design of investment education programs that frequently focused on cognitive skills, such as understanding risks and return. If personality traits affect adaptation to financial losses, investment education programs may teach investors about how their disposition traits in personality may affect their trading decisions. In some countries, potential investors are required to fill in a questionnaire measuring their risk aversion, before they are allowed to trade. Our results indicate that personality is also an important trait of individual differences, next to risk aversion. In this type of questionnaires, it may be worthwhile to also include a relatively short and comprehensive measure of personality, such as the 50-item measure used in this study.

In this study, we have focused on personality and have excluded other potentially relevant individual differences. Further investigation is needed to increase our understanding of the explanatory power of heterogeneity among individuals on adaptation to financial losses and subsequent effects on financial decisions. Future research should explore other potential variables that may explain the variance in adaptation of the reference point, such as self-esteem,

type-A personality. Our results may also link to the study of subjective well-being. Our results suggest that personality may affect how individuals adapt to the economic environment and how they perceive their subjective well being. Greater knowledge of how variables measuring individual differences are related to adaptation to financial losses can increase our understanding of retail investors' decision-making processes.

Our results also lead to a more general suggestion for further research. Adaptation to gains and losses is important for gaining understanding into investment decisions in a dynamic setting. It has been found that adaptation to prior gains or losses affect subjective value of attached to subsequent trading options, which eventually affect investors' investment decisions. More specifically, Lee et al. (2009) found that adapted reference point interacts with expectation and affects investors' decisions to hold or to sell a losing investment. In this paper, we find that individuals' personality traits affect their extent of adaptation, implying that personality traits should be taken into account in economic models as well.

References

- Arkes, H. R., Hirshleifer, D., Jiang, D., & Lim, S. (2007). A cross-cultural study of reference Point Adaptation: Evidence from China, Korea, and the US. Munich Personal RePEc Archive, Working Paper No. 4009.
- Arkes, H. R., Hirshleifer, D., Jiang, D., & Lim, S. (2008). Reference point adaptation: Tests in the domain of security trading. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 105, 67-81.
- Bishop, G. D., Tong, E. M. W., Diong, S. M., Enkelmann, H. C., Why, Y. P., Khader, M., & Ang, J. C. H. (2001). The relationship between coping and personality among police officers in Singapore. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 35, 353–374.
- Bowles, S., Gintis, H., & Osborne, M. O. (2001). Incentive-enhancing preferences: Personality, behavior, and earnings. *American Economic Review*, 91 (2), 155–158.
- Boone, C., De Brabander, B., & van Witteloostuijn, A. (1999). The impact of personality on behavior in five Prisoner's Dilemma games. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 20, 343–377.
- Brandstätter, H., & Güth, W. (2000). A psychological approach to individual differences in intertemporal consumption patterns. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 21, 465–479.
- Brickman, P., Coates, D., & Janoff-Bulman, R. (1978). Lottery winners and accident victims: Is happiness relative? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 36, 917–927.
- Caruso, J. C. (2000) Reliability generalization of the NEO personality scales. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 60 (2), 236–254.

- Carver, C. S., Scheier, M. F., & Weintraub, J. K. (1989). Assessing coping strategies: A theoretically based approach. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 56, 267–283.
- Connor-Smith, J. K., & Flachsbart, C. (2007). Relations between personality and coping: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 93, 1080–1107.
- Costa, P. T., & McCrae, R. R. (1992). *Revised NEO personality inventory (NEO PI-R) and NEO five-factor inventory (NEO-FFI) professional manual*. Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources.
- Costa, P. T., Somerfield, M. R., & McCrae, R. R. (1996). Personality and coping: A reconceptualization. In M. Zeidner & N. S. Endler (Eds.), *Handbook of coping: Theory, research, applications* (pp. 44–61). New York: Wiley.
- David, J. P., & Suls, J. (1999). Coping efforts in daily life: Role of Big Five traits and problem appraisals. *Journal of Personality*, 67, 265–294.
- Dhar, R., & Zhu, N. (2006). Up close and personal: An individual level analysis of the disposition effect. *Management Science*, 52(5), 726–740.
- Eysenck, S. B. G., Eysenck, H. J., & Barrett, P. (1985). A revised version of the Psychoticism scale. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 6, 21–29.
- Gallagher, D. J. (1990) Extraversion, neuroticism and appraisal of stressful academic events. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 11(10), 1053–1057.
- Garvey, R., & Murphy, A. (2004). Are Professional Traders Too Slow to Realize Their Losses? *Financial Analysts Journal*, 60(4), 35–43.
- Goldberg, L. R. (1992). The development of markers for the Big-Five factor structure. *Psychological Assessment*, 4, 26–42.

- Goldberg, L. R. (1994). Resolving a scientific embarrassment: A comment on the articles in this special issue. *European Journal of Personality*, 8, 351–356.
- Gow, A. J., Whiteman, M.C., Pattie, A., & Deary, I. J. (2005). Goldberg's IPIP Big-Five factor markers: Internal consistency and concurrent validation in Scotland. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 39, 317–329.
- Grinblatt, M., Keloharju, M., & Linnainmaa, J. T. (2009). Do smart investors outperform dumb investors? Chicago Booth Research Paper No. 09–33; AFA 2010 Atlanta Meetings Paper; CRSP Working Paper. Available at SSRN: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1364014>.
- Groves, M. O. (2005). How important is your personality? Labor market returns to personality for women in the US and UK. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 26 (6), 827–841.
- Heaven P.C.L., & Bucci S. (2001) Right-wing authoritarianism, social dominance orientation and 8 personality: an analysis using the IPIP measure. *European Journal of Personality*, 15 (1), 49–56.
- John, O. P., & Srivastava, S. (1999). The Big Five trait taxonomy: History, measurement, and theoretical perspectives. In L. A. Pervin & O. P. John (Eds.), *Handbook of personality: Theory and research* (2nd ed.; pp. 102–138). New York: Guilford Press.
- Kahneman, D. (1999). Objective happiness. In D. Kahneman, E. Diener, & N. Schwarz (Eds.), *Well-being: The foundations of hedonic psychology* (pp. 3–25). New York: Sage.
- Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica*, 47 (March), 263–292.
- Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, appraisal, and coping*. New York: Springer.

- Lee, C., Kraeussl, R., Lucas, A., & Paas, L. (2009). Why do investors eventually sell losers? How adaptation to losses affects future capitulation decisions. Discussion Paper no. 112, Tinbergen Institute.
- Lee, H.-J., Park, J., Lee, J.-Y., & Wyer, R. S., Jr. (2008). Disposition effects and underlying mechanisms in e-trading of stocks. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 45(3), 362–378.
- Lengua, L. J., & Sandler, I. N. (1996). Self-regulation as a moderator of the relation between coping and symptomatology in children of divorce. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 24, 681–701.
- L'Haridon, O. (2009). Behavior in the loss domain: An experiment using the probability trade-off consistency condition. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 30, 540–551.
- Lucas, R. E., Clark, A. E., Georgellis, Y., & Diener, E. (2003). Reexamining adaptation and the set point model of happiness: Reactions to changes in marital status. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 84, 527–539.
- McCrae, R. R., Costa, P. T., Jr., Ostendorf, F., Angleitner, A., Hrebickova, M., Avia, M. D., et al. (2000). Nature over nurture: Temperament, personality, and life span development. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 78, 173–186.
- McCrae, R. R., & John, O. P. (1992). Introduction to the five-factor model and its applications. *Journal of Personality*, 60, 175–215.
- Miro, J., & Raich, R. M. (1992). Personality traits and pain experience. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 13, 309–313.
- Miles, J. N. V., & Hempel, S. (2003). The Eysenck Personality Scales. In M. Hersen, M. Hilsenroth, & D. Segal (Eds.), *The comprehensive handbook of psychological assessment: Personality assessment* (pp. 147–168). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.

- Morgan, I. A., Matthews, G., & Winton, M. (1995). Coping and personality as predictors of post-traumatic intrusions, numbing, avoidance and general distress: A study of victims of the Perth flood. *Behavioural & Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 23, 251–264.
- Nyhus, E. K., & Pons, E. (2005). The effects of personality on earnings. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 26 (3), 363–384.
- Odean, T. (1998). Are investors reluctant to realize their losses? *Journal of Finance*, 53 (October), 1775–1798.
- Rothbart, M. K., & Bates, J. E. (1998). Temperament. In W. Damon (Series Ed.) & N. Eisenberg (Vol. Ed.), *Handbook of child psychology: Vol. 3. Social, emotional, and personality development* (5th ed., pp. 105–176). New York, Wiley.
- Semykina, A., & Linz, S. J. (2007) Gender differences in personality and earnings: Evidence from Russia. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 28, 387–410.
- Shefrin, H., & Statman, M. (1985). The disposition to sell winners too early and ride losers too long. *Journal of Finance*, 40 (July), 777–790.
- Skinner, E. A., Edge, K., Altman, J., & Sherwood, H. (2003). Searching for the structure of coping: A review and critique of category systems for classifying ways of coping, *Psychological Bulletin*, 129 (2), 216–269.
- Stracca, L. (2004). Behavioral finance and asset prices: Where do we stand? *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 25, 373–405.
- Thaler, R. H. (1985). Mental accounting and consumer choice. *Marketing Science*, 4 (3), 199–214.

- Thaler, R. H., & Johnson, E. J. (1990). Gambling with the house money and trying to break even: The effects of prior outcomes on risky choice. *Management Science*, 36 (6), 643–660.
- Theakston, J. A., Stewart, S. H., Dawson, M. Y., Knowlden–Loewen, S. A. B., & Lehman, D. R. (2004). Big–Five personality domains predict drinking motives. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 37, 971–984.
- Van der Sar, N.L. (2004). Behavioral finance: How matters stand. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 25, 425–444.
- Weber, M., & Camerer, C. F. (1998). The disposition effect in securities trading: An experimental analysis. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 33 (January), 167–184.

Footnotes


1. There are two dominant labels of the Factor V in five-factor models of personality: Openness to Experience verse Intellect. Goldberg (1994) suggests that in the Five Factor Model of genotypic personality dispositions, Factor V can be interpreted as Openness to Experience. However, in the Big Five model of phenotypic personality-trait, Factor V is better labeled as Intellect or Imagination.
2. For example, the Cronbach's alpha obtained in the student sample of Gow et al. (2005) for Extraversion, Agreeableness, Conscientiousness, Emotional Stability, Intellect are 0.87, 0.72, 0.80, 0.85, and 0.77 respectively.

Table 1. Effects of Big Five personality traits on adaptation to losses and gains

	Extent of Adaptation	
	Domain of Loss	Domain of Gain
Big Five personality traits		
Extraversion	-,173	-,018
Agreeableness	,245**	-,075
Conscientiousness	-,210*	-,131
Emotional Stability	-,040	-,045
Intellect	,318**	-,093
R ²	0.16**	0.04

Note. * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$.

Figure 1. Utility function in prospect theory



2006-1	Tibert Verhagen Selmar Meents Yao-Hua Tan	Perceived risk and trust associated with purchasing at Electronic Marketplaces, 39 p.
2006-2	Mediha Sahin Marius Rietdijk Peter Nijkamp	Ethnic employees' behaviour vis-à-vis customers in the service sector, 17 p.
2006-3	Albert J. Menkveld	Splitting orders in overlapping markets: A study of cross-listed stocks, 45 p.
2006-4	Kalok Chan Albert J. Menkveld Zhishu Yang	Are domestic investors better informed than foreign investors? Evidence from the perfectly segmented market in China, 33 p.
2006-5	Kalok Chan Albert J. Menkveld Zhishu Yang	Information asymmetry and asset prices: Evidence from the China foreign share discount, 38 p.
2006-6	Albert J. Menkveld Yiu C. Cheung Frank de Jong	Euro-area sovereign yield dynamics: The role of order imbalance, 34 p.
2006-7	Frank A.G. den Butter	The industrial organisation of economic policy preparation in the Netherlands
2006-8	Evgenia Motchenkova	Cost minimizing sequential punishment policies for repeat offenders, 20 p.
2006-9	Ginés Hernández- Cánovas Johanna Koëter- Kant	SME Financing in Europe: Cross-country determinants of debt maturity, 30 p.
2006-10	Pieter W. Jansen	Did capital market convergence lower the effectiveness of the interest rate as a monetary policy tool? 17 p.
2006-11	Pieter W. Jansen	Low inflation, a high net savings surplus and institutional restrictions keep the long-term interest rate low. 24 p.
2006-12	Joost Baeten Frank A.G. den Butter	Welfare gains by reducing transactions costs: Linking trade and innovation policy, 28 p.
2006-13	Frank A.G. den Butter Paul Wit	Trade and product innovations as sources for productivity increases: an empirical analysis, 21 p.
2006-14	M. Francesca Cracolici Miranda Cuffaro Peter Nijkamp	Sustainable tourist development in Italian Holiday destination, 10 p.

2006-15	Simonetta Longhi Peter Nijkamp	Forecasting regional labor market developments under spatial heterogeneity and spatial correlation, 25 p
2006-16	Mediha Sahin Peter Nijkamp Tüzin Baycan-Levent	Migrant Entrepreneurship from the perspective of cultural diversity, 21 p.
2006-17	W.J. Wouter Botzen Philip S. Marey	Does the ECB respond to the stock market? 23 p.
2006-18	Tüzin Baycan-Levent Peter Nijkamp	Migrant female entrepreneurship: Driving forces, motivation and performance, 31 p.
2006-19	Ginés Hernández-Cánovas Johanna Koëter-Kant	The European institutional environment and SME relationship lending: Should we care? 24 p.
2006-20	Miranda Cuffaro Maria Francesca Cracolici Peter Nijkamp	Economic convergence versus socio-economic convergence in space, 13 p.
2006-21	Mediha Sahin Peter Nijkamp Tüzin Baycan-Levent	Multicultural diversity and migrant entrepreneurship: The case of the Netherlands, 29 p.

2007-1	M. Francesca Cracolici Miranda Cuffaro Peter Nijkamp	Geographical distribution of enemployment: An analysis of provincial differences in Italy, 21 p.
2007-2	Daniel Leliefeld Evgenia Motchenkova	To protect in order to serve, adverse effects of leniency programs in view of industry asymmetry, 29 p.
2007-3	M.C. Wassenaar E. Dijkgraaf R.H.J.M. Gradus	Contracting out: Dutch municipalities reject the solution for the VAT-distortion, 24 p.
2007-4	R.S. Halbersma M.C. Mikkers E. Motchenkova I. Seinen	Market structure and hospital-insurer bargaining in the Netherlands, 20 p.
2007-5	Bas P. Singer Bart A.G. Bossink Herman J.M. Vande Putte	Corporate Real estate and competitive strategy, 27 p.
2007-6	Dorien Kooij Annet de Lange Paul Jansen Josje Dijkers	Older workers' motivation to continue to work: Five meanings of age. A conceptual review, 46 p.
2007-7	Stella Flytzani Peter Nijkamp	Locus of control and cross-cultural adjustment of expatriate managers, 16 p.
2007-8	Tibert Verhagen Willemijn van Dolen	Explaining online purchase intentions: A multi-channel store image perspective, 28 p.
2007-9	Patrizia Riganti Peter Nijkamp	Congestion in popular tourist areas: A multi-attribute experimental choice analysis of willingness-to-wait in Amsterdam, 21 p.
2007-10	Tüzin Baycan-Levent Peter Nijkamp	Critical success factors in planning and management of urban green spaces in Europe, 14 p.
2007-11	Tüzin Baycan-Levent Peter Nijkamp	Migrant entrepreneurship in a diverse Europe: In search of sustainable development, 18 p.
2007-12	Tüzin Baycan-Levent Peter Nijkamp Mediha Sahin	New orientations in ethnic entrepreneurship: Motivation, goals and strategies in new generation ethnic entrepreneurs, 22 p.
2007-13	Miranda Cuffaro Maria Francesca Cracolici Peter Nijkamp	Measuring the performance of Italian regions on social and economic dimensions, 20 p.

2007-14	Tüzin Baycan-Levent Peter Nijkamp	Characteristics of migrant entrepreneurship in Europe, 14 p.
2007-15	Maria Teresa Borzacchiello Peter Nijkamp Eric Koomen	Accessibility and urban development: A grid-based comparative statistical analysis of Dutch cities, 22 p.
2007-16	Tibert Verhagen Selmar Meents	A framework for developing semantic differentials in IS research: Assessing the meaning of electronic marketplace quality (EMQ), 64 p.
2007-17	Aliye Ahu Gülümser Tüzin Baycan Levent Peter Nijkamp	Changing trends in rural self-employment in Europe, 34 p.
2007-18	Laura de Dominicis Raymond J.G.M. Florax Henri L.F. de Groot	De ruimtelijke verdeling van economische activiteit: Agglomeratie- en locatiepatronen in Nederland, 35 p.
2007-19	E. Dijkgraaf R.H.J.M. Gradus	How to get increasing competition in the Dutch refuse collection market? 15 p.

2008-1	Maria T. Borzacchiello Irene Casas Biagio Ciuffo Peter Nijkamp	Geo-ICT in Transportation Science, 25 p.
2008-2	Maura Soekijad Jeroen Walschots Marleen Huysman	Congestion at the floating road? Negotiation in networked innovation, 38 p.
2008-3	Marlous Agterberg Bart van den Hooff Marleen Huysman Maura Soekijad	Keeping the wheels turning: Multi-level dynamics in organizing networks of practice, 47 p.
2008-4	Marlous Agterberg Marleen Huysman Bart van den Hooff	Leadership in online knowledge networks: Challenges and coping strategies in a network of practice, 36 p.
2008-5	Bernd Heidergott Haralambie Leahu	Differentiability of product measures, 35 p.
2008-6	Tibert Verhagen Frans Feldberg Bart van den Hooff Selmar Meents	Explaining user adoption of virtual worlds: towards a multipurpose motivational model, 37 p.
2008-7	Masagus M. Ridhwan Peter Nijkamp Piet Rietveld Henri L.F. de Groot	Regional development and monetary policy. A review of the role of monetary unions, capital mobility and locational effects, 27 p.
2008-8	Selmar Meents Tibert Verhagen	Investigating the impact of C2C electronic marketplace quality on trust, 69 p.
2008-9	Junbo Yu Peter Nijkamp	China's prospects as an innovative country: An industrial economics perspective, 27 p
2008-10	Junbo Yu Peter Nijkamp	Ownership, r&d and productivity change: Assessing the catch-up in China's high-tech industries, 31 p
2008-11	Elbert Dijkgraaf Raymond Gradus	Environmental activism and dynamics of unit-based pricing systems, 18 p.
2008-12	Mark J. Koetse Jan Rouwendal	Transport and welfare consequences of infrastructure investment: A case study for the Betuweroute, 24 p
2008-13	Marc D. Bahlmann Marleen H. Huysman Tom Elfring Peter Groenewegen	Clusters as vehicles for entrepreneurial innovation and new idea generation – a critical assessment
2008-14	Soushi Suzuki Peter Nijkamp	A generalized goals-achievement model in data envelopment analysis: An application to efficiency improvement in local government finance in Japan, 24 p.
2008-15	Tüzin Baycan-Levent	External orientation of second generation migrant entrepreneurs. A sectoral

	Peter Nijkamp Mediha Sahin	study on Amsterdam, 33 p.
2008-16	Enno Masurel	Local shopkeepers' associations and ethnic minority entrepreneurs, 21 p.
2008-17	Frank Frößler Boriana Rukanova Stefan Klein Allen Higgins Yao-Hua Tan	Inter-organisational network formation and sense-making: Initiation and management of a living lab, 25 p.
2008-18	Peter Nijkamp Frank Zwetsloot Sander van der Wal	A meta-multicriteria analysis of innovation and growth potentials of European regions, 20 p.
2008-19	Junbo Yu Roger R. Stough Peter Nijkamp	Governing technological entrepreneurship in China and the West, 21 p.
2008-20	Maria T. Borzacchiello Peter Nijkamp Henk J. Scholten	A logistic regression model for explaining urban development on the basis of accessibility: a case study of Naples, 13 p.
2008-21	Marius Ooms	Trends in applied econometrics software development 1985-2008, an analysis of Journal of Applied Econometrics research articles, software reviews, data and code, 30 p.
2008-22	Aliye Ahu Gülümser Tüzin Baycan-Levent Peter Nijkamp	Changing trends in rural self-employment in Europe and Turkey, 20 p.
2008-23	Patricia van Hemert Peter Nijkamp	Thematic research prioritization in the EU and the Netherlands: an assessment on the basis of content analysis, 30 p.
2008-24	Jasper Dekkers Eric Koomen	Valuation of open space. Hedonic house price analysis in the Dutch Randstad region, 19 p.

2009-1	Boriana Rukanova Rolf T. Wignand Yao-Hua Tan	From national to supranational government inter-organizational systems: An extended typology, 33 p.
2009-2	Marc D. Bahlmann Marleen H. Huysman Tom Elfring Peter Groenewegen	Global Pipelines or global buzz? A micro-level approach towards the knowledge-based view of clusters, 33 p.
2009-3	Julie E. Ferguson Marleen H. Huysman	Between ambition and approach: Towards sustainable knowledge management in development organizations, 33 p.
2009-4	Mark G. Leijssen	Why empirical cost functions get scale economies wrong, 11 p.
2009-5	Peter Nijkamp Galit Cohen-Blankshtain	The importance of ICT for cities: e-governance and cyber perceptions, 14 p.
2009-6	Eric de Noronha Vaz Mário Caetano Peter Nijkamp	Trapped between antiquity and urbanism. A multi-criteria assessment model of the greater Cairo metropolitan area, 22 p.
2009-7	Eric de Noronha Vaz Teresa de Noronha Vaz Peter Nijkamp	Spatial analysis for policy evaluation of the rural world: Portuguese agriculture in the last decade, 16 p.
2009-8	Teresa de Noronha Vaz Peter Nijkamp	Multitasking in the rural world: Technological change and sustainability, 20 p.
2009-9	Maria Teresa Borzacchiello Vincenzo Torrieri Peter Nijkamp	An operational information systems architecture for assessing sustainable transportation planning: Principles and design, 17 p.
2009-10	Vincenzo Del Giudice Pierfrancesco De Paola Francesca Torrieri Francesca Pagliari Peter Nijkamp	A decision support system for real estate investment choice, 16 p.
2009-11	Miruna Mazurencu Marinescu Peter Nijkamp	IT companies in rough seas: Predictive factors for bankruptcy risk in Romania, 13 p.
2009-12	Boriana Rukanova Helle Zinner Hendriksen Eveline van Stijn Yao-Hua Tan	Bringing is innovation in a highly-regulated environment: A collective action perspective, 33 p.
2009-13	Patricia van Hemert Peter Nijkamp Jolanda Verbraak	Evaluating social science and humanities knowledge production: an exploratory analysis of dynamics in science systems, 20 p.

2009-14	Roberto Patuelli Aura Reggiani Peter Nijkamp Norbert Schanne	Neural networks for cross-sectional employment forecasts: A comparison of model specifications for Germany, 15 p.
2009-15	André de Waal Karima Kourtit Peter Nijkamp	The relationship between the level of completeness of a strategic performance management system and perceived advantages and disadvantages, 19 p.
2009-16	Vincenzo Punzo Vincenzo Torrieri Maria Teresa Borzacchiello Biagio Ciuffo Peter Nijkamp	Modelling intermodal re-balance and integration: planning a sub-lagoon tube for Venezia, 24 p.
2009-17	Peter Nijkamp Roger Stough Mediha Sahin	Impact of social and human capital on business performance of migrant entrepreneurs – a comparative Dutch-US study, 31 p.
2009-18	Dres Creal	A survey of sequential Monte Carlo methods for economics and finance, 54 p.
2009-19	Karima Kourtit André de Waal	Strategic performance management in practice: Advantages, disadvantages and reasons for use, 15 p.
2009-20	Karima Kourtit André de Waal Peter Nijkamp	Strategic performance management and creative industry, 17 p.
2009-21	Eric de Noronha Vaz Peter Nijkamp	Historico-cultural sustainability and urban dynamics – a geo-information science approach to the Algarve area, 25 p.
2009-22	Roberta Capello Peter Nijkamp	Regional growth and development theories revisited, 19 p.
2009-23	M. Francesca Cracolici Miranda Cuffaro Peter Nijkamp	Tourism sustainability and economic efficiency – a statistical analysis of Italian provinces, 14 p.
2009-24	Caroline A. Rodenburg Peter Nijkamp Henri L.F. de Groot Erik T. Verhoef	Valuation of multifunctional land use by commercial investors: A case study on the Amsterdam Zuidas mega-project, 21 p.
2009-25	Katrin Oltmer Peter Nijkamp Raymond Florax Floor Brouwer	Sustainability and agri-environmental policy in the European Union: A meta-analytic investigation, 26 p.
2009-26	Francesca Torrieri Peter Nijkamp	Scenario analysis in spatial impact assessment: A methodological approach, 20 p.
2009-27	Aliye Ahu Gülümser Tüzin Baycan-Levent Peter Nijkamp	Beauty is in the eyes of the beholder: A logistic regression analysis of sustainability and locality as competitive vehicles for human settlements, 14 p.

2009-28	Marco Percoco Peter Nijkamp	Individual time preferences and social discounting in environmental projects, 24 p.
2009-29	Peter Nijkamp Maria Abreu	Regional development theory, 12 p.
2009-30	Tüzin Baycan-Levent Peter Nijkamp	7 FAQs in urban planning, 22 p.
2009-31	Aliye Ahu Gülümser Tüzin Baycan-Levent Peter Nijkamp	Turkey's rurality: A comparative analysis at the EU level, 22 p.
2009-32	Frank Bruinsma Karima Kourtit Peter Nijkamp	An agent-based decision support model for the development of e-services in the tourist sector, 21 p.
2009-33	Mediha Sahin Peter Nijkamp Marius Rietdijk	Cultural diversity and urban innovativeness: Personal and business characteristics of urban migrant entrepreneurs, 27 p.
2009-34	Peter Nijkamp Mediha Sahin	Performance indicators of urban migrant entrepreneurship in the Netherlands, 28 p.
2009-35	Manfred M. Fischer Peter Nijkamp	Entrepreneurship and regional development, 23 p.
2009-36	Faroek Lazrak Peter Nijkamp Piet Rietveld Jan Rouwendal	Cultural heritage and creative cities: An economic evaluation perspective, 20 p.
2009-37	Enno Masurel Peter Nijkamp	Bridging the gap between institutions of higher education and small and medium-size enterprises, 32 p.
2009-38	Francesca Medda Peter Nijkamp Piet Rietveld	Dynamic effects of external and private transport costs on urban shape: A morphogenetic perspective, 17 p.
2009-39	Roberta Capello Peter Nijkamp	Urban economics at a cross-yard: Recent theoretical and methodological directions and future challenges, 16 p.
2009-40	Enno Masurel Peter Nijkamp	The low participation of urban migrant entrepreneurs: Reasons and perceptions of weak institutional embeddedness, 23 p.
2009-41	Patricia van Hemert Peter Nijkamp	Knowledge investments, business R&D and innovativeness of countries. A qualitative meta-analytic comparison, 25 p.
2009-42	Teresa de Noronha Vaz Peter Nijkamp	Knowledge and innovation: The strings between global and local dimensions of sustainable growth, 16 p.
2009-43	Chiara M. Travisi Peter Nijkamp	Managing environmental risk in agriculture: A systematic perspective on the potential of quantitative policy-oriented risk valuation, 19 p.
2009-44	Sander de Leeuw	Logistics aspects of emergency preparedness in flood disaster prevention, 24 p.

Iris F.A. Vis
Sebastiaan B. Jonkman

2009-45	Eveline S. van Leeuwen Peter Nijkamp	Social accounting matrices. The development and application of SAMs at the local level, 26 p.
2009-46	Tibert Verhagen Willemijn van Dolen	The influence of online store characteristics on consumer impulsive decision-making: A model and empirical application, 33 p.
2009-47	Eveline van Leeuwen Peter Nijkamp	A micro-simulation model for e-services in cultural heritage tourism, 23 p.
2009-48	Andrea Caragliu Chiara Del Bo Peter Nijkamp	Smart cities in Europe, 15 p.
2009-49	Farook Lazrak Peter Nijkamp Piet Rietveld Jan Rouwendal	Cultural heritage: Hedonic prices for non-market values, 11 p.
2009-50	Eric de Noronha Vaz João Pedro Bernardes Peter Nijkamp	Past landscapes for the reconstruction of Roman land use: Eco-history tourism in the Algarve, 23 p.
2009-51	Eveline van Leeuwen Peter Nijkamp Teresa de Noronha Vaz	The Multi-functional use of urban green space, 12 p.
2009-52	Peter Bakker Carl Koopmans Peter Nijkamp	Appraisal of integrated transport policies, 20 p.
2009-53	Luca De Angelis Leonard J. Paas	The dynamics analysis and prediction of stock markets through the latent Markov model, 29 p.
2009-54	Jan Anne Annema Carl Koopmans	Een lastige praktijk: Ervaringen met waarderen van omgevingskwaliteit in de kosten-batenanalyse, 17 p.
2009-55	Bas Straathof Gert-Jan Linders	Europe's internal market at fifty: Over the hill? 39 p.
2009-56	Joaquim A.S. Gromicho Jelke J. van Hoorn Francisco Saldanha-da-Gama Gerrit T. Timmer	Exponentially better than brute force: solving the job-shop scheduling problem optimally by dynamic programming, 14 p.
2009-57	Carmen Lee Roman Kraeussl Leo Paas	The effect of anticipated and experienced regret and pride on investors' future selling decisions, 31 p.
2009-58	René Sitters	Efficient algorithms for average completion time scheduling, 17 p.

2009-59	Masood Gheasi Peter Nijkamp Piet Rietveld	Migration and tourist flows, 20 p.
---------	---	------------------------------------

2010-1	Roberto Patuelli Norbert Schanne Daniel A. Griffith Peter Nijkamp	Persistent disparities in regional unemployment: Application of a spatial filtering approach to local labour markets in Germany, 28 p.
2010-2	Thomas de Graaff Ghebre Debrezion Piet Rietveld	Schaalsprong Almere. Het effect van bereikbaarheidsverbeteringen op de huizenprijzen in Almere, 22 p.
2010-3	John Steenbruggen Maria Teresa Borzacchiello Peter Nijkamp Henk Scholten	Real-time data from mobile phone networks for urban incidence and traffic management – a review of application and opportunities, 23 p.
2010-4	Marc D. Bahlmann Tom Elfring Peter Groenewegen Marleen H. Huysman	Does distance matter? An ego-network approach towards the knowledge-based theory of clusters, 31 p.
2010-5	Jelke J. van Hoorn	A note on the worst case complexity for the capacitated vehicle routing problem, 3 p.
2010-6	Mark G. Lijesen	Empirical applications of spatial competition; an interpretative literature review, 16 p.
2010-7	Carmen Lee Roman Kraeussl Leo Paas	Personality and investment: Personality differences affect investors' adaptation to losses, 28 p.

